

Research Project: EMODHEBREW

Date: 11 April, 2019

Summary of: Keren, Einat-Haya. 2015. From Negative Polarity to Negative Concord—Slavic Footprints in the Diachronic Change of Hebrew *meʔuma*, *klum*, and *šum davar*. In E. Doron (ed.) *Language Contact and the Development of Modern Hebrew*. Leiden: Brill. 180-194.

Summary by: Roma Yee

Negative Concord in Modern Hebrew

The Hebrew negative quantifiers *meʔuma*, *klum*, and *šum-davar* appear to have changed their distribution and meaning between Classical Hebrew and Modern Hebrew. These items function as Negative Polarity Items (NPIS) in Biblical and Rabbinic texts, and are translated as ‘anything’. However, following the modern revival of Hebrew, these items appear to function as Negative Concord Items (NCIS) and are better translated today as ‘nothing.’ The modern distribution of these items appears to parallel NCIS in Polish, Russian, and Yiddish, leading to the proposal that the shift is due largely to the influence of Slavic languages.

NCIS and Modern Hebrew

Languages differ in how they use NCIS. Some languages are Strict Negative Concord languages: the verb must be negated, regardless of the function of the NCI (subject, object, or adjunct) or its position (before or after the NCI). Modern Hebrew is such a language. The negative items *meʔuma*, *klum*, and *šum-davar* function as strict NCIS in combination with obligatory sentential negation *lo*, and yield the reading of a single logical negation, as seen in (1-3). The sentence is ungrammatical without this negation.

- (1) *(*lo*) *raʔ’iti* *meʔuma/klum/šum davar*
 NEG see.PAST.1S nothing
 ‘I didn’t see anything.’/ ‘I saw nothing.’
- (2) *meʔuma* *lo* *yešane* *ʔet* *daʕatam* *ʕal* *ha-ħisun*
 nothing NEG change.SM ACC opinion.GEN about the-vaccination
 ‘...Nothing can change their opinion about the vaccination.’ (y-net, health+(Briut),
 <http://www.ynet.co.il/articles/0,7340,L-4457560,00.html>, accessed December 4, 2014)

In Modern Hebrew, a preverbal NCI, such as the quantifier *ʔaf ʔeħad* (which is also an NCI) in (3), cannot license the post-verbal NCIS *meʔuma*, *klum*, and *šum davar*. Sentential negation is obligatory just as in the previous examples.

- (3) *ʔaf ʔeħad* *(*lo*) *ʔamar* *meʔuma/klum/šum davar*
 Nobody NEG said.3MS nothing
 ‘Nobody said anything.’

Other languages, such as many Romance languages, are what Giannakidou (1997) calls “Non-Strict Negative Concord” languages. These languages require negation when an NCI appears post-verbally; however, when the NCI appears pre-verbally, negation is not required or may be incompatible with it (Zeijlstra 2004).

NPIs and Classical Hebrew

Negative polarity items (NPIs) are only licensed in certain semantic and syntactic environments. The most common environment for them is within the scope of negation. NPIs can also be found in environments that do not include negation, such as questions (4) and conditionals (6). Note that NCIs are disallowed in these contexts (5, 7)

- (4) *hayita šam ʔei paʕam?*
 be.PAST.2SM there NPI time
 ‘Have you ever been there?’
- (5) **hayita šam ʔaf paʕam?*
 be.PAST.2SM there NEG time
 ‘Have you never been there?’
- (6) *ʔim ʔei paʕam tagiaʕ le-šam tavi li mazkeret*
 if NPI time arrive.FUT.2SM to-there bring.FUT.2SM me souvenir.F
 ‘If you ever get there, bring me a souvenir.’
- (7) **ʔim ʔaf paʕam tagiaʕ le-šam, ze yihiye haval*
 if NEG time arrive.FUT.2SM to-there it be.FUT.3SM shame
 ‘If you never get there, it will be a shame.’

According to these distributional properties, in Classical Hebrew texts, *meʔuma*, *klum*, and *šum-davar* appear to function as NPIs and are found in contexts in which NCIs are ungrammatical, such as questions and the antecedents of conditionals. This will be exemplified in what follows.

meʔuma

meʔuma appears in Biblical Hebrew with negation (8) as well as questions (9) and conditionals (10):

- (8) *וַיֹּאמֶר יַעֲקֹב לֹא תִתֶּן לִי מֵאֲמָה*
va-yyomer ya ʕakob lo titten li mə ʕumā
 and-say.3SM Jacob NEG give.FUT.2SM DAT.1S nothing/anything
 ‘And Jacob said, “Pay me nothing!”’ (Genesis 30:31)
- (9) *הֲנֵה בָאתִי אֵלֶיךָ עַתָּה הֲיִכּוֹל אֲכַל דְּבַר מֵאֲמָה*
hinnē bātī ʕelēykā ʕattā hā-yākōl ʕkal dabbēr
 here come.PAST.1S to.you.2SM now Q-can.INF can.FUT.1S talk.INF
mə ʕumā
 anything
 ‘And now that I have come to you, have I the power to speak freely?’ (Numbers 22:38)
- (10) *כִּי תִשָּׂא בְרַעְוֵךְ מִשְׂאֵת מֵאֲמָה לֹא תִבָּא אֶל בֵּיתוֹ לְעֵבֶט עֵבֶטוֹ*

<i>kī</i>	<i>taššē</i>	<i>bə-rē ʾākā</i>	<i>maššat</i>	<i>mə ʾumā</i>	<i>lō</i>
if	lend.FUT.2SM	in-friend.GEN.2SM	thing	anything	NEG
<i>tābō</i>		<i>ʾel- bēyṯō</i>		<i>la ʾaboṯ</i>	<i>ʾaboṯō</i>
come.FUT.2SM		to house.GEN.3SM		take.INF	pledge.GEN.3SM

‘When you make a loan of any sort to your countryman, you must not enter his house to seize his pledge.’ (Deuteronomy 24:10)

klum

klum first appears in early Rabbinic Hebrew (*Mishnah*) and is primarily used in combination with negation (11). Like *meʿuma*, it is also found in questions (12) and in conditionals (13):

- (11) כָּל זְמַן שֶׁהַטֶּבֶל מְרוּבָה לֹא הֵיפְסִיד כְּלוּם
kol zman še-ha-ṯṯēḇel mārūbbe lō hīpsīd
 all time.M that-the-untithed great.M NEG lost.PAST.3SM
kālūm
 nothing/anything
 ‘[W]hen the greater part is untithed produce naught is lost.’ (*Mishnah*, Zera’im, Demai 7:7, MS Kaufmann A 50)
- (12) רָוִאים אַתֶּם כְּלוּם בְּאַחַד מִן הַהָרִים הַלֵּלוּ
rō ʾīm ʾatēm kālūm bə-əḥād min he-hārīm
 see.PRES.PM you.PLM anything in-one.M of the-mountains
hallālū?
 those?
 ‘Do ye see anything upon one of those mountains?’ (Pirke De-Rabbi Eliezer, chapter 31; taken from the 2005 edition, Zichron Aharon: Jerusalem)
- (13) אִם יֹאמֶר לְךָ יִתְרוֹ כְּלוּם מִן הַשְּׂבוּעָה
ʾim yōmar ləkā yiṯrō kālūm min ha-ššbū ʾā
 if say.FUT.3SM DAT.2S Jethro anything from the-oath.F
 ‘Should Jethro at all remind you of your oath...’ (Exodus Rabbah 4:4; Hebrew: Friedlander 1981; English: Lehrman 1961)

šum-davar

The individual words *šum* and *davar* are both found in Biblical Hebrew while the compound *šum-davar* is considered either Rabbinic or Medieval in origin. *šum-davar* is used in the antecedent of a conditional in (14) below:

- (14) וְאֶחָד הַשּׂוֹאֵל אֶת הַבְּעָלִים... לְאוֹתָהּ הַמְלֵאכָה אוֹ... אוֹ לְשׂוּם דָּבָר... הֲרִי זֶה שְׂאִילָהּ בְּבָעָלִים וּפְטוּר
w-ʾeḥad ha-šō ʾel ʾet ha-bə ʾālim... lə- ʾotah ha-mməlākā
 and-one.M that-borrow.PRES.SM ACC the-owners for-ACC.SF the-work.F
ʾō... ʾō lə-šum davar b-ā- ʾolām... hārei zō šə ʾila
 or or for-anything in-the-world... EMPHATIC it.F borrowing.F
b-a-bə ʾalīm u-pāṯūr
 in-the-owners and-quit.PASS.3SM
 ‘whether the commodatary borrowed the services of the owner or... whether he borrowed the services for the same work, or... or for anything in the world... it is a case of borrowing with the owner and the commodatary is quit.’ (Borrowing and Depositing, 2

1(6), *The Book of Civil Laws, Mishneh-Torah [The Code of Maimonides]*; Hebrew: Kook 1987; English: Rabinowitz 1949)

To recall, in contrast to the above examples from Biblical and Rabbinic or Medieval texts, speakers of Modern Hebrew most likely judge *meʔuma*, *klum*, and *šum-davar* as ungrammatical when presented in questions or the antecedents of conditions without negation.

- (15) **raʔita šam meʔuma /klum/šum davar?*
 see.PAST.2MS there nothing
 ‘Did you see anything there?’
- (16) **ʔim tirʔe šama meʔuma/klum/šum davar....*
 if see.FUT.2MS there nothing
 ‘If you see anything there . . .’

Influence from Contact Languages

The shift in the distribution from NPIS in Classical Hebrew to NCIS in Modern Hebrew appears to be rooted in the contact languages Polish, Russian, and Yiddish, all which exhibit Negative Concord (as seen in 17-19). These languages have NCIS with the meaning ‘nothing’ which have a similar distribution to Modern Hebrew’s *meʔuma*, *klum*, and *šum-davar*. Polish and Russian are Strict Negative Concord languages (Zejlstra 2004). Polish *niczego* is not licensed in NPI contexts (Przepiórkowski & Kupść 1998), and similarly, Russian *ničego* is also an NCI (Pereltsvaig 2006).

- (17) Polish:
*jan *(nie) chcial niczego kupować*
 John not wanted nothing-GEN buy-INF
 ‘John didn’t want to buy anything.’ (Przepiórkowski & Kupść 1998:250)
- (18) Russian:
ivan ne znaet ničego
 Ivan not knows n.what
 ‘Ivan does not know anything.’ (Fitzgibbons 2008:51)
- (19) Yiddish:
hot men gegrobn un gegrobn, un hot gornisht
 has one dug and dug and has nothing
nit gefunen
 not found
 ‘One continued digging and one found nothing.’ (Olsvanger 1947: 110, as quoted in Van der Auwera & Gybels 2014:211)

Although Yiddish has been cited as a major influence on the syntax of Modern Hebrew (Wexler 1990), the distribution of *gornisht* is different from NCIS in Strict Negative Concord languages in that they can appear without sentential negation. Van der Auwera & Gybels (2014) note that the Yiddish NCI *gornisht* can be used without negation. However, according to Moshe Taube (personal communication), Yiddish has other NCIS, such as *keiner* (person-negation), *keyn-mol* (time-

negation), and *in ergets* (place-negation) with a syntactic distribution that which requires negation, similar to *meʔuma*, *klum*, and *šum-davar* in Modern Hebrew.

Conclusion

This entry investigated the similarity of Modern Hebrew's NCIS *meʔuma*, *klum*, and *šum-davar* to that of Polish *niczego* and Russian *ničego*. The paper posits that the Modern Hebrew distribution differs from Classical Hebrew due to contact with these Slavic languages, whereby native speakers misinterpreted the Classical Hebrew NPIS *meʔuma*, *klum*, and *šum-davar* as NCIS. Although the distribution of Yiddish NCI *gornisht* is not entirely parallel to that of its strict NCI counterparts in Polish, Russian and Modern Hebrew, Yiddish has other NCIS which require Strict Negative Concord. As such, the paper suggests that it is probable that all three languages contributed to the reanalysis of *meʔuma*, *klum*, and *šum-davar* from NPIS to NCIS in Modern Hebrew.

References

- Fitzgibbons, Natalia V. 2008. Freestanding Negative Concord Items in Russian. *Nanzan Linguistics* 3.2: 51-63, special issue.
- Friedlander, Gerald. 1981. *Midrash pirḳê de Rabbi Eliezer (The Chapters of Rabbi Eliezer the Great)*. Sepher-Hermon Press: New York.
- Giannakidou, Anastasia. 1997. *The Landscape of Polarity Items*. PhD diss. University of Groningen.
- Jewish Publication Society. 1985. *Tanakh: A New Translation of the Holy Scriptures according to the Traditional Hebrew Text*. Philadelphia, PA: Jewish Publication Society
- Pereltsvaig, Asya. 2006. Negative Polarity Items in Russian and the 'Bagel Problem.' In *Negation in Slavic*, eds. Sue Brown & Adam Przepiorkowski. Bloomington, IN: Slavica Publishers, 153-178.
- Przepiorkowski, Adam & Anna Kupść. 1998. Verbal Negation and Complex Predicate Formation in Polish. In *Proceedings of the 1997 Texas Linguistics Forum Symposium on the Syntax and Semantics of Predication, Texas Linguistic Forum*, vol. 38, eds. Ralph C. Blight & Michelle J. Moosally. Austin, TX, 247-262, The Linguistics <http://bach.ipipan.waw.pl/~adamp/Papers/1997-tls/tls-neg.doc> (accessed September 3, 2014).
- Van der Auwera, Johan & Paul Gybels. 2010. On Negation, Indefinites, and Negative Indefinites in Yiddish. In *Yiddish Language Structures*, eds. Marion Aptroot & Bjorn Hansen. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 185.
- Wexler, Paul. 1990. *The Schizoid Nature of Hebrew: A Slavic Language in Search of a Semitic Past*. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.
- Zeijlstra, Hedde 2004. *Sentential Negation and Negative Concord*. PhD Dissertation. University of Amsterdam. Utrecht: LOT Publications.